

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/608,978 06/26/2003 John D. Dobak III 103002 6407 **EXAMINER** 06/30/2005 MAYER FORTKORT & WILLIAMS ROLLINS, ROSILAND STACIE 251 NORTH AVENUE WEST ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2ND FLOOR WESTFIELD, NJ 07090 3739

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	
(-	. ,

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/608,978	DOBAK ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Rosiland S. Rollins	3739
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE		·
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the made patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, reply within the statutory minimulated will expire SIX atute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		,	
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	6 June 2003.	
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for forma	al matters, prosecution as to the merits
	closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims		
·	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.	
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without		on.
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requireme	nt.
Applicati	on Papers		
9) 🗆 .	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ a		ted to by the Examiner.
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the at	tached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority a	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority under 35 H	S.C. 8 119(a)-(d) or (f)
-	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	aga paony ander 55 C.	C.C. 3 1 10(a) (a) or (i).
/-	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been receive	ed.
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	application from the International Bur	·	
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a		
		·	
Attachmen	t(s)		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Into	erview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Pa	per No(s)/Mail Date
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/2003;</u> 01/2005; 11/2004		tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ner:
S. Patent and T	rademark Office	o Action Summa-	Part of Panor No (Mail Days 2005)
	rademark Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20

Application/Control Number: 10/608,978

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6-11 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lafontaine '735 further in view of Ginsburg, et al. (US 6497721).

Lafontaine discloses a device to treat tissue comprising an outer tube (28), an inner tube (32) disposed at least partially within the outer tube and a dual balloon (14). Lafontaine teaches all of the structural components of the claims except the particular type of pump as claimed. The device of Lafontaine, however, has the structural components arranged differently such that the supply and return lumens are located on the exterior of the inner tube. Whereas the supply and return lumens of the present invention are located on the interior of the inner tube thereby causing the inlet to be defined soley by the outer tube and the inner tube. Therefore, without a showing of criticality it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the supply and return lumens of Lafontaine on the interior of the inner tube which would cause the inlet to be soley defined by the outer tube and the inner tube, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,978

Art Unit: 3739

Ginsburg et al. disclose a similar device and teach that it is old and well known in the art to use a variety of different pumps to circulate the heat exchange fluid (col. 24 lines 10-14). Each of the pumps disclosed by Ginsburg et al. may be substituted one for the other. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a gear pump as the pump of Lafontaine particularly in view of the teaching of Ginsburg et al. that a substitution as such would be proper.

Page 3

Regarding claim 2 figure 2 illustrates the guidewire lumen, supply lumen and return lumen. Regarding claim 6 (36) is illustrated as a marker. Regarding claims 7-11 see col. 4 lines 49-52.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lafontaine and Ginsburg et al. further in view of Amplatz et al. '751.

Lafontaine teaches all of the limitations of the claim except two radially extending tabs. Amplatz et al. disclose a balloon catheter that includes tab members to anchor the inner lumen of the catheter within the balloon while inflated. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include two tab members to anchor the inner lumen within the balloon while inflated. It would have also been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the tabs integral with device, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,978

Art Unit: 3739

Page 4

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lafontaine and Ginsburg et al. further in view of Jacobsen et al. '101.

Lafontaine teaches all of the limitations of the claim except a fluid contrast media. Jacobsen et al. teach that it is old and well known in the art to provide a contrast media as an inflation fluid for a balloon catheter. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a fluid contrast media in the Lafontaine device as a means of inflating the balloon.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosiland S. Rollins whose telephone number is (571) 272-4772. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739